# Hasso Plattner Institut 

IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam

# Natural Language Processing <br> Language Modeling <br> Potsdam, 19 April 2012 

## Saeedeh Momtazi

Information Systems Group

## Outline

(1) Motivation
(2) Estimation
(3) Evaluation
(4) Smoothing

## Outline

(1) Motivation
(2) Estimation
(3) Evaluation
(4) Smoothing

## Language Modeling

- Finding the probability of a sentence or a sequence of words

$$
P(S)=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)
$$

- Applications:
$\square$ Word prediction
$\square$ Speech recognition
$\square$ Machine translation
$\square$ Spell checker


## Applications

- Word Prediction

"natural language ..." $\Rightarrow \quad$| "processing" |
| :---: |
| "management" |

## Applications

- Speech recognition
$\Rightarrow$
"Computers can recognize speech." "Computers can wreck a nice peach."


## Applications

- Machine translation
"The cat eats ..."
$\Rightarrow$
"Die Katze frisst ..."
"Die Katze isst ..."


## Applications

- Spell checker
"I want to adver this project." $\quad \Rightarrow \quad$ "advert"
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## Language Modeling

- Finding the probability of a sentence or a sequence of words

$$
P(S)=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)
$$

$P$ (Computer, can, recognize, speech)

## Conditional Probability

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(B \mid A)=\frac{P(A, B)}{P(A)} \\
P(A, B)=P(A) \cdot P(B \mid A) \\
P(A, B, C, D)=P(A) \cdot P(B \mid A) \cdot P(C \mid A, B) \cdot P(D \mid A, B, C)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
P(S)=P\left(w_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \cdots P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right)
$$

$$
P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)
$$

## Conditional Probability

$$
P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)
$$

$P($ Computer, can, recognize, speech $)=$
$P($ Computer $) \cdot P($ can $\mid$ Computer $) \cdot P($ recognize $\mid$ Computer can $) \cdot P($ speech $\mid$ Computer can recognize $)$

## Corpus

- Probabilities are based on counting things
- Counting of thing in natural language is based on a corpus (plural: corpora)
- A computer-readable collection of text or speech
$\square$ The Brown Corpus
- A million-word collection of samples
- 500 written texts from different genres (newspaper, fiction, non-fiction, academic, ...)
- Assembled at Brown University in 1963-1964
- The Switchboard Corpus
- A collection of 240 hours of telephony conversations
- 3 million words in 2430 conversations averaging 6 minutes each
- Collected in early 1990s


## Corpus

- Text Corpora
- The Brown Corpus
$\square$ Corpus of Contemporary American English
- The British National Corpus
$\square$ The International Corpus of English
$\square$ The Google $N$-gram Corpus


## Word Occurrence

- A language consist of a set of $V$ words (Vocabulary)
- A text is a sequence of the words from the vocabulary
- A word can occur several times in a text
- Word Token: each occurrence of words in text
$\square$ Word Type: each unique occurrence of words in the text


## Example:

This is a sample text from a book that is read every day
\# Word Tokens: 13
\# Word Types: 11

## Counting

- Brown
$\square$ 1,015,945 word tokens
$\square$ 47,218 word types
- Google $N$-gram
- 1,024,908,267,229 word tokens
- 13,588,391 word types

That seems like a lot of types...
Even large dictionaries of English have only around 500k types.
Why so many here?
Numbers
Misspellings
Names
Acronyms

## Word Frequency

| Rank | Word | Count | Freq(\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The | 69970 | 6.8872 |
| 2 | of | 36410 | 3.5839 |
| 3 | and | 28854 | 2.8401 |
| 4 | to | 26154 | 2.5744 |
| 5 | a | 23363 | 2.2996 |
| 6 | in | 21345 | 2.1010 |
| 7 | that | 10594 | 1.0428 |
| 8 | is | 10102 | 0.9943 |
| 9 | was | 9815 | 0.9661 |
| 10 | He | 9542 | 0.9392 |
| 11 | for | 9489 | 0.9340 |
| 12 | it | 8760 | 0.8623 |
| 13 | with | 7290 | 0.7176 |
| 14 | as | 7251 | 0.7137 |
| 15 | his | 6996 | 0.6886 |
| 16 | on | 6742 | 0.6636 |
| 17 | be | 6376 | 0.6276 |
| 18 | at | 5377 | 0.5293 |
| 19 | by | 5307 | 0.5224 |
| 20 | l | 5180 | 0.5099 |

## Word Frequency

| Rank | Word | Count | Freq(\%) | Freq x Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The | 69970 | 6.8872 | 0.06887 |
| 2 | of | 36410 | 3.5839 | 0.07167 |
| 3 | and | 28854 | 2.8401 | 0.08520 |
| 4 | to | 26154 | 2.5744 | 0.10297 |
| 5 | a | 23363 | 2.2996 | 0.11498 |
| 6 | in | 21345 | 2.1010 | 0.12606 |
| 7 | that | 10594 | 1.0428 | 0.07299 |
| 8 | is | 10102 | 0.9943 | 0.07954 |
| 9 | was | 9815 | 0.9661 | 0.08694 |
| 10 | He | 9542 | 0.9392 | 0.09392 |
| 11 | for | 9489 | 0.9340 | 0.10274 |
| 12 | it | 8760 | 0.8623 | 0.10347 |
| 13 | with | 7290 | 0.7176 | 0.09328 |
| 14 | as | 7251 | 0.7137 | 0.09991 |
| 15 | his | 6996 | 0.6886 | 0.10329 |
| 16 | on | 6742 | 0.6636 | 0.10617 |
| 17 | be | 6376 | 0.6276 | 0.10669 |
| 18 | at | 5377 | 0.5293 | 0.09527 |
| 19 | by | 5307 | 0.5224 | 0.09925 |
| 20 | I | 5180 | 0.5099 | 0.10198 |

Freq. Rank $\approx c$

## Zipf's Law

- The frequency of any word is inversely proportional to its rank in the frequency table
- Given a corpus of natural language utterances, the most frequent word will occur approximately
$\square$ twice as often as the second most frequent word,
$\square$ three times as often as the third most frequent word,
$\Rightarrow$ Rank of a word times its frequency is approximately a constant

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rank } \cdot \text { Freq } \approx c \\
c \approx 0.1 \text { for English }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Zipf's Law
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## Word Frequency

- Zipf's Law is not very accurate for very frequent and very infrequent words

| Rank | Word | Count | Freq(\%) | Freq x Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The | 69970 | 6.8872 | 0.06887 |
| 2 | of | 36410 | 3.5839 | 0.07167 |
| 3 | and | 28854 | 2.8401 | 0.08520 |
| 4 | to | 26154 | 2.5744 | 0.10297 |
| 5 | a | 23363 | 2.2996 | 0.11498 |

## Word Frequency

■ Zipf's Law is not very accurate for very frequent and very infrequent words

| Rank | Word | Count | Freq(\%) | Freq x Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1000 | current | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10200 |
| 1001 | spent | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10210 |
| 1002 | eight | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10220 |
| 1003 | covered | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10230 |
| 1004 | Negro | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10240 |
| 1005 | role | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10251 |
| 1006 | played | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10261 |
| 1007 | l'd | 104 | 0.0102 | 0.10271 |
| 1008 | date | 103 | 0.0101 | 0.10180 |
| 1009 | council | 103 | 0.0101 | 0.10190 |
| 1010 | race | 103 | 0.0101 | 0.10201 |

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation

$P($ speech $\mid$ Computer can recognize)

$$
P(\text { speech } \mid \text { Computer can recognize })=\frac{\#(\text { Computer can recognize speech })}{\#(\text { Computer can recognize })}
$$

- Too many phrases

■ Limited text for estimating the probability
$\Rightarrow$ Making a simplification assumption

## Markov Assumption

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right) \\
P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$


$P($ Computer, can, recognize, speech $)=$ $P($ Computer $) \cdot P($ can $\mid$ Computer $) \cdot P($ recognize $\mid$ can $) \cdot P($ speech $\mid$ recognize $)$

$$
P(\text { speech } \mid \text { recognize })=\frac{\#(\text { recognize speech })}{\#(\text { recognize })}
$$

## N-gram Model

Unigram $\quad P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i}\right)$

Bigram $\quad P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)$

Trigram $\quad P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)$
$N$-gram

$$
P(S)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)
$$

## Maximum Likelihood

<s> I saw the boy </s>
$<s>$ the man is working </s>
<s> I walked in the street </s>

Vocab:
I saw the boy man is working walked in street
boy I in is man saw street the walked working

## Maximum Likelihood

<s> I saw the boy </s>
<s> the man is working </s>
<s> I walked in the street </s>

| boy | 1 | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |


|  | boy | I | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| boy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| is | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| man | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| saw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| street | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| the | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| walked | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| working | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Maximum Likelihood

<s> I saw the man </s>

| boy | I | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |


|  | boy | I | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| boy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| is | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| man | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| saw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| street | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| the | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| walked | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| working | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(S)=P(I) \cdot P(\text { saw } \mid I) \cdot P(\text { the } \mid \text { saw }) \cdot P(\text { man } \mid \text { the }) \\
& P(S)=\frac{\#(I)}{\#(<s>)} \cdot \frac{\#(I \text { saw })}{\#(I)} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { saw the })}{\#(\text { saw })} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { the man })}{\#(\text { the })} \\
& P(S)=\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Outline

## Branching Factor

- Branching factor is the number of possible words that can be used in each position of a text
$\square$ Maximum branching factor for each language is $V$
$\square$ A good language model should be able to
- minimize this number
- give a higher probability to the words that occur in real texts

John eats an ...


## Shannon Game

## Shannon's Experiment to Calculate the Entropy of English


http://www.math.ucsd.edu/~crypto/java/ENTROPY/

Can we give the same knowledge to a computer to predict the next character?

## Perplexity

- Dividing the corpus to two parts

| train | test |
| :---: | :---: |

- Building a language model from the training set
- Estimating the probability of the test set
- Calculate the average branching factor of the test set


## Perplexity

$$
P(S)=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{Perplexity}(S)=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}}=\sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)}}$

$$
\operatorname{Perplexity}(S)=\sqrt[N]{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)}}
$$

Goal: giving higher probability to frequent texts
$\Rightarrow$ minimizing the perplexity of the frequent texts

## Perplexity

- Maximum branching factor for each language is $|V|$

$$
\operatorname{Perplexity}(S)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}}
$$

- Example: predicting next characters instead of next words (|V|=26)


$$
\operatorname{Perplexity}(S)=\left(\left(\frac{1}{26}\right)^{5}\right)^{-\frac{1}{5}}=26
$$

## Perplexity

- Wall Street Journal
- Training set: 38 million word tokens
$\square$ Test set: 1.5 million words

|  | Unigram | Bigram | Trigram |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perplexity | 962 | 170 | 109 |

## Outline

(4) Smoothing

## Maximum Likelihood

<s> I saw the man </s>

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(S)=P(I) \cdot P(\text { saw } \mid I) \cdot P(\text { the } \mid \text { saw }) \cdot P(\text { man } \mid \text { the }) \\
& P(S)=\frac{\#(I)}{\#(\langle s>)} \cdot \frac{\#(I \text { saw })}{\#(I)} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { saw the })}{\#(\text { saw })} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { the man })}{\#(t \text { the })} \\
& P(S)=\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Zero Probability

<s> I saw the man in the street </s>

| boy | I | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |


|  | boy | l | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| boy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| is | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| man | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| saw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| street | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| the | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| walked | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| working | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$P(S)=P(I) \cdot P($ saw $\mid I) \cdot P($ the $\mid$ saw $) \cdot P($ man $\mid$ the $) \cdot P($ in $\mid$ man $) \cdot P($ the $\mid$ in $) \cdot P($ street $\mid$ the $)$
$P(S)=\frac{\#(I)}{\#(\langle s\rangle)} \cdot \frac{\#(I \text { saw })}{\#(I)} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { saw the })}{\#(\text { saw })} \cdot \frac{\# \text { (the man })}{\#(\text { the })} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { man in })}{\#(\text { man })} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { in the })}{\#(\text { in })} \cdot \frac{\#(\text { the street })}{\#(\text { the })}$
$P(S)=\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{0}{1} \quad \frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{3}$

## Smoothing

- Giving a small probability to all as unseen n-grams
$\square$ Laplace Smoothing
- Add one to all counts (Add-one)

|  | boy | l | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| boy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| is | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| man | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| saw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| street | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| the | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| walked | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| working | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Smoothing

- Giving a small probability to all as unseen n-grams
- Laplace Smoothing
- Add one to all counts (Add-one)

|  | boy | I | in | is | man | saw | street | the | walked | working |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| boy | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| in | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| is | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| man | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| saw | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| street | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| the | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| walked | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| working | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)+1}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)+V}
$$

## Smoothing

- Giving a small probability to all as unseen n-grams
- Laplace Smoothing
- Add one to all counts (Add-one)
- Interpolation and Back-off Smoothing
- Use a background probability

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

Back-off

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} & \text { if } \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0 \\ P_{B G} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Smoothing

- Giving a small probability to all as unseen n-grams
- Laplace Smoothing
- Add one to all counts (Add-one)
- Interpolation and Back-off Smoothing
- Use a background probability

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

Interpolation


## Background Probability

- Lower levels of $n$-gram can be used as background probability
$\square$ trigram $\rightarrow$ bigram
$\square$ bigram $\rightarrow$ unigram
- unigram $\rightarrow$ zerogram ( $\frac{1}{V}$ )

Back-off

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} & \text { if } \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0 \\
P\left(w_{i}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
P\left(w_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\#\left(w_{i}\right)}{N} & \text { if } \#\left(w_{i}\right)>0 \\
\frac{1}{V} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Background Probability

- Lower levels of $n$-gram can be used as background probability
$\square$ trigram $\rightarrow$ bigram
$\square$ bigram $\rightarrow$ unigram
- unigram $\rightarrow$ zerogram ( $\frac{1}{V}$ )

Interpolation

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\lambda_{1} \frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}+\lambda_{2} P\left(w_{i}\right) \\
P\left(w_{i}\right)=\lambda_{1} \frac{\#\left(w_{i}\right)}{N}+\lambda_{2} \frac{1}{V} \\
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\lambda_{1} \frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}+\lambda_{2} \frac{\#\left(w_{i}\right)}{N}+\lambda_{3} \frac{1}{V}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Parameter Tuning

- Dataset


Held-out Set (Development Set)
Using different values for parameters and select the best value which minimize the perplexity of the held-out data.

## Advanced Smoothing

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)+1}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)+V} \\
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)+k}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)+k V} \\
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)+\mu\left(\frac{1}{V}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)+\mu} \\
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)+\mu P_{B G}}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)+\mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mu=k V
$$

Bayesian Smoothing with Dirichlet Prior

## Advanced Smoothing

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} & \text { if } \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0 \\
P_{B G} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)-\delta}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} & \text { if } \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0 \\
\alpha P_{B G} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Absolute Discounting

## Advanced Smoothing

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right) & =\frac{\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)-\delta}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}+\alpha P_{B G} \\
\alpha & =\frac{\delta}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} \cdot B
\end{aligned}
$$

$B$ : the number of times $\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0$
(the number of times that we applied discounting)

Absolute Discounting

$$
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\max \left(\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)-\delta, 0\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}+\alpha P_{B G}
$$

## Advanced Smoothing

■ Estimation base on the lower-order n-gram
I cannot see without my reading ... $\quad \Rightarrow \quad$ "Francisco" "glasses"

- Observations:
- "Francisco" is more common than "glasses"
$\square$ But "Francisco" always follows "San"
$\square$ "Francisco" is not a novel continuation for a text
- Solution:
$\square$ Instead of $P(w)$ : "How likely is $w$ to appear in a text"
$\square P_{\text {continuation }}(w)$ : "How likely is $w$ to appear as a novel continuation"
- Count the number of words types that $w$ appears after them

$$
P_{\text {continuation }}(w) \propto\left|w_{i-1}: \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0\right|
$$

## Advanced Smoothing

- How many times does w appear as a novel continuation

$$
P_{\text {continuation }}(w) \propto\left|w_{i-1}: \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0\right|
$$

■ Normalized by the total number of bigram types

$$
P_{\text {continuation }}(w)=\frac{\left|w_{i-1}: \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0\right|}{\left|\left(w_{j-1}, w_{j}\right): \#\left(w_{j-1}, w_{j}\right)>0\right|}
$$

- Alternatively: normalized by the number of words preceding all words

$$
P_{\text {continuation }}(w)=\frac{\left|w_{i-1}: \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0\right|}{\sum_{w^{\prime}}\left|w_{i-1}^{\prime}: \#\left(w_{i-1}^{\prime}, w_{i}^{\prime}\right)>0\right|}
$$

## Advanced Smoothing

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\max \left(\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)-\delta, 0\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}+\alpha P_{B G} \\
P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\max \left(\#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)-\delta, 0\right)}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)}+\alpha P_{\text {continuation }} \\
\alpha=\frac{\delta}{\#\left(w_{i-1}\right)} \cdot B
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
B: \text { the number of times } \#\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)>0
$$

## Further Reading

- Speech and Language Processing
- Chapter 4

