

Digital Engineering • Universität Potsdam

Iterative Machine Learning Design Process for Digital Health

Borchert, Dr. Schapranow Data Management for Digital Health Winter 2023

Agenda Pillars of the Lecture





ML Process

Agenda Pillars of the Lecture





ML Process

Lecture Schedule





Agenda



- Pitfalls of ML Systems
- ML Process Model
- Federated Learning

Introduction to ML

Using Data to Train Machines

Machine Learning

"Field of study that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed" (Arthur Samuel, 1959)

"A computer program is said to learn from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P if its performance at tasks in T, as measured by P, improves with experience E." (Tom Mitchell, 1997)





ML Process

What Could Possibly Go Wrong?



THIS IS YOUR MACHINE LEARNING SYSTEM? YUP! YOU POUR THE DATA INTO THIS BIG PILE OF LINEAR ALGEBRA, THEN COLLECT THE ANSWERS ON THE OTHER SIDE. WHAT IF THE ANSWERS ARE WRONG? JUST STIR THE PILE UNTIL THEY START LOOKING RIGHT. DATA

ML Process

Garbage In - Garbage Out

- ML models are only as good as the data they are trained on
- When learning from data to make decisions, we may reproduce biases (racial, gender, etc.) that are inherent in the data, e.g.:
 - African-American prisoners could be predicted to be more likely to commit future crimes because they are overrepresented in prisons
 - Women could be predicted to have less a priori risk of heart attacks because they are currently more often misdiagnosed





ML Process

Some Domains are Really Hard





02 Apr 2019 | 15:00 GMT

How IBM Watson Overpromised and Underdelivered on AI Health Care

After its triumph on Jeopardy!, IBM's AI seemed poised to revolutionize medicine. Doctors are still waiting

"A deeper look [...] reveals a fundamental **mismatch between the promise of machine learning and the reality of medical care** – between "real AI" and the requirements of a functional product for today's doctors."

ML Process

Good Performance != Clinical Utility

nature machine intelligence

Explore content V About the journal V Publish with us V

<u>nature</u> > <u>nature machine intelligence</u> > <u>analyses</u> > article

Analysis | Open access | Published: 15 March 2021

Common pitfalls and recommendations for This machine learning to detect and prognostica COVID-19 using chest radiographs and CT scans

Michael Roberts [™], Derek Driggs, Matthew Thorpe, Julian Gilbey, Michael Yeung, Stephan Ursprung, Angelica I. Aviles-Rivero, Christian Etmann, Cathal McCague, Lucian Beer, Jonathan R. Weir-McCall, Zhongzhao Teng, Effrossyni Gkrania-Klotsas, AIX-COVNET, James H. F. Rudd, Evis Sala & Carola-Bibiane Schönlieb

Nature Machine Intelligence 3, 199–217 (2021) Cite this article

92k Accesses | 485 Citations | 1191 Altmetric | Metrics

in EMBASE and MEDLINE in this timeframe are considered. Our search identified 2,212 studies, of which 415 were included after initial screening and, after quality screening, 62 studies were included in this systematic review. Our review finds that none of the models identified are of potential clinical use due to methodological flaws and/or underlying biases. This is a major weakness, given the urgency with which validated COVID-19 models are needed. To address this, we give many recommendations which, if followed, will solve these issues and lead to higher-quality model development and well-documented manuscripts.

ML Process



Process Model for ML in Digital Health Hasso Plattner Institut **Roles** -Requirement Data Data Data Scientist Analysis Acquisition Preparation Business metrics • Domain Expert Exploration Data collection Acceptance criteria • Model ETL Quality assessment Raw Data protection • Requirements Cleansing (Data) Engineer Data integration data Ethics • Feature engineering De-identification Interpretability Labeling requirements Training Feedback Feedback data --Predictive Evaluation Deployment Test Modeling data Model compression **ML Process** • Algorithm selection Evaluation Metrics • Model Predictions Feature selection Inspection Trained Data Management for versioning Model training model Interpretation Digital Health, Winter Process integration • Performance Hyperparameter tuning Manual evaluation 2023 Monitoring • metrics Model selection 11 Continual learning

Roles and Personas in the ML Process





ML Process

Data Management for Digital Health, Winter 2023 **12**

Note: Individuals can have more than one role in a given project and the distinctions are sometimes blurry

Requirements Analysis





ML Process

Running Example



Predict risk of coronary heart disease!

ML Process

Requirements Analysis

- Define business metrics / outcomes, e.g.:
 - Mortality
 - False detection rate
 - Cost for hospital stays
 - → Conflicting goals must be balanced
- Define the baseline, e.g.:
 - existing (clinical) algorithm
 - human performance
- Define acceptance criteria for an ML solution
 - What performance is considered "good enough"?
 - Interpretability: will your users trust a black box model?

http://kiskipby.org/group-meeting/ https://medium.com/ritual-design/culture-meets-artificial-intelligence-a2ad6dc82b







-

Analysis

Business metricsAcceptance criteria

Data protection

 Interpretability requirements

Ethics



Requirements Analysis How to get data and labels?

• Ethics: you might need IRB approval for your ML project!

 Data protection: to work with personal health data, you need consent (or data donation) or use de-identified data

Obtaining data and ground-truth labels comes at a cost
 Ask yourself: do you really need ML to solve the problem?



Hasso Plattne

Data Acquisition





Digital Health, Winter 2023 17

https://www.displayr.com/what-is-data-merging/ https://www.astera.com/de/Typ/Blog/etl-prozess-und-schritte/

Data Acquisition Data collection & ETL

- Data in Digital Health is often scattered across multiple (legacy) systems
- Obtaining additional data:
 - Existing datasets (internal or open source) can be helpful
 - External knowledge bases are available for many Digital Health applications
- Extract, Transform, Load (ETL) processes have to be implemented to join different data sources

0 121 Pears Breakfast







588

654

527

955

954

954

ML Process



Example Collecting Data From Different Data Sources

Systolic Blood Pressure	Age	Patient ID
120	15	43
118	15	71
101	16	293
108	16	433
114	16	280
180	61	408
158	62	19
180	64	395
128	64	204
143	15330	226

Patient ID	Coronary Heart Disease
293	False
80	False
433	False
410	False
280	False
395	False
408	True
316	False
459	False
399	True

Coronary Heart Disease	Systolic Blood Pressure	Age	Patient ID
False	101	16	293
False	108	39	80
False	108	16	433
False	112	40	410
False	114	16	280
False	180	64	395
True	180	61	408
False	190	50	316
False	214	58	459
True	218	48	399



Dataset from GP

Dataset from Health Insurance Company

Merged dataset

ML Process



Data Preparation





Digital Health, Winter 2023 20

(Ground truth) labels required for supervised ML

Data Preparation

Labeling

- Sometimes, we can just define one attribute as the label
- But: some labels can be very expensive to obtain, e.g., if they require manual annotation
- Labels can take different shape depending on the setting:
 - □ Category (classification)
 - □ Scalar value (regression)
 - Vectors / matrices (structured prediction)



101

Coronary Heart Disease

False

Patient ID Age Systolic Blood Pressure

291

293 16



",Coronary Heart Disease" (0/1) = Binary label (classification problem)

 \rightarrow Two features remain: Age & Systolic Blood Pressure

Why is Patient ID not a good feature?

ML Process



Data Preparation Exploration & Quality Assessment

- Data preparation can take up most of the time of ML project
- Explore dataset and look at single examples
 - Get descriptive statistics (e.g., number of samples, class imbalance, mean, variance, etc.)
 - Visualize dataset (can also be done using unsupervised ML methods for high-dimensional data)
 - Assess data quality (missing data, input errors, outliers, labeling errors, etc.)

	Patient ID	Age	Systolic Blood Pressure	Coronary Heart Disease
291	293	16	101	False
79	80	39	108	False
431	433	16	108	False
408	410	40	112	False
278	280	16	114	False
393	395	64	180	False
406	408	61	180	True
314	316	50	190	False
457	459	58	214	False
397	399	48	218	True





	Age	Systolic Blood Pressure
count	100.00	100.00
mean	157.54	140.65
std	1,127.12	22.27
min	16.00	106.00
25%	32.00	124.00
50%	49.50	136.00
75%	58.25	154.00
max	11,315.00	214.00

ML Process

Labeling

Data Preparation Cleansing



Hasso Plattner Institut



ML Process

Data Preparation Cleansing



Data Management for Digital Health, Winter 2023 **24**



Data Preparation Feature Engineering

- What are the relevant features that describe the data points?
- → Requires domain knowledge, takes a lot of time and used to be one of the defining success factors for ML projects (less important with Deep Learning)
- Transformations are applied to the data to get it into a form to use it for modelling. Common operations:
 - Normalization, Scaling, e.g.: log(Age)
 - Non-linear interactions, e.g.: Age^2 or $Age \cdot Syst. BP$
- Come up with reasonable estimates for missing values (Imputation) or remove them

	Patient ID	Age	Systolic Blood Pressure
291	293	16	101
79	80	39	108
431	433	16	108
408	410	40	112
278	280	16	114
393	395	64	180
406	408	61	180
314	316	50	190
457	459	58	214
397	399	48	218



-

Exploration
Quality assessment
Cleansing
Feature engineering
Labeling

Data Preparation

ML Process

Training & Test Sets







https://conlanscientific.com/posts/category/blog /post/avoiding-data-leakage-machine-learning/ https://www.jerseywater.je/water-services/getconnected/leakage/

- Part of the data needs be set aside as a proxy for "unseen data"
 - → Never look at the labels in your test set while training or you will overestimate performance
 - Common approach to get a test set: pick x% of training data randomly (e.g., 90% training, 10% test)
 - Use stratified sampling to get same distribution in training and test set
- Beware of data leakage (using information that is not available in production), e.g. :
 - use information from future to predict the past (e.g., using treatment to predict disease)
 - □ have data from same patient in training and test set



- Feature selection
- Model training
- Hyperparameter tuning
- Model selection

ML Process

Predictive Modeling Model Raw (3) 1 2 Requirements Acquisition Preparation data Training Test data data Feedback Feedback Predictive Trained Predictions 5 Evaluation 6 Deployment Modeling model Performance metrics



Roles

Data Scientist

Domain Expert

(Data) Engineer

(Data) Engineer Digital Health, Winter 2023 27

Predictive Modelling Simple Binary Classification Problem

Recall Goal in ML: Find function (model of the data) $f: X \to Y$





Predictive Modeling Algorithm selection Feature selection Model training Hyperparameter tuning •

Model selection





Another decision boundary for CHD classification

ML Process

Predictive Modelling

- Highly experimental and iterative process
- Can require lots of computational resources depending on:

size of dataset

- complexity of model
- hyperparameter search space
- Choosing a relevant subset of features (Feature selection) can improve speed, predictive performance and interpretability
- Details strongly depend on problem setting (supervised / unsupervised) and learning algorithm → will be covered in other lectures



- Model training
- Hyperparameter tuning
- Model selection

ML Process

Simple Binary Classification: Logistic Regression

	¹ 1	×2	У
(1)	Age	Systolic Blood Pressure	Coronary Heart Disease
x ⁽¹⁾	17	118	0
$x^{(2)}$	46	117	0
$x^{(3)}$	53	146	1
$x^{(4)}$	62	158	1
$x^{(5)}$	20	106	1
	20	124	0
•	48	144	1
·	42	154	0
•	51	124	1
$x^{(n)}$	58	214	0

20

Model $P(y^{(i)} = 1 | x_i) = \sigma(w^T x^{(i)} + b)$ $= \sigma(w_1 \ x_1^{(i)} + w_2 \ x_2^{(i)} + b)$

Features
$$x_i = (x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)})$$

Weights
$$w = (w_1, w_2)$$
, bias b

Logistic function
$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$$

 \rightarrow Result of running logistic regression:

$$w_1 = 0.057$$
 $w_2 = 0.0044$ $b = -3.857$

 $P(y = 1 | x^{(1)}) = \sigma(0.057 \cdot 17 + 0.0044 \cdot 118 - 3.857) = 0.08$ $P(y = 1 | x^{(4)}) = \sigma(0.057 \cdot 62 + 0.0044 \cdot 158 - 3.857) = 0.56$

200
97

$$200$$

 120
 200
 120
 20
 120
 20
 20
 20
 120
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 20
 2





ML Process

Predictive Modelling Selecting the appropriate model / ML algorithm





Hasso Plattner

Institut

ML Process

Predictive Modelling Model Selection



Linear model might be too simple \rightarrow just use a more complex model?



Poll: Which model would you prefer?

ML Process

Predictive Modelling Model Selection

- Getting good results on training data is relatively easy, especially on small training datasets
- Recall goal in ML: make predictions for unseen data
- Model selection (picking one f* out of your class of functions) should not be done on the training set



Hasso Plattne

ML Process

Predictive Modelling Model Selection

- Getting good results on training data is relatively easy, especially on small training datasets
- Recall goal in ML: make predictions for unseen data
- Model selection (picking one f* out of your class of functions) should not be done on the training set
- Common approach: split dataset
 - Training set used for model training
 - Validation set used for model selection
 - (Hold-out) Test set used to report performance estimate
- If you have little data: n-fold cross-validation (care must be taken not to overestimate performance)



Train

Accuracy = mean(93, 91, 83, 94, 90, 95) = 91 (%)

https://towardsdatascience.com/train-validation-

and-test-sets-72cb40cba9e7

https://www.machinelearningtutorial.net/2017/04/0 1/training-set-vs-test-set-vs-validation-set-whatsthe-deal/

ML Process

Data Management for Digital Health, Winter 2023 **34**



Test

Validation

Evaluation





Evaluation

...



- Different evaluation metrics for different ML settings:
 - □ Classification: Accuracy, Precision, Recall,
 - Regression: Root Mean Squared Error, Max-Error, ...
 - Structured Prediction: domain specific
- Choosing right metric is not trivial and should be aligned with "business" goals
- Statistical significance tests should be performed to determine if model is really better or just by chance

Measure

Sensitivity and specificity Discrimination (ROC/AUC) Predictive values: positive, negative Likelihood ratio: positive, negative Accuracy: Youden index, Brier score Number needed to treat or screen Calibration: Calibration plot, Hosmer-Lemeshow test R² statistical significance: p-value (e.g. likelihood ratio test) Magnitude of association, e.g., β coefficients, odds ratio Model quality: Akeike IC/ Bayes IC Net reclassification index and integrated discrimination improvement Net benefit Cost-effectiveness



- Manual evaluation

ML Process

Evaluation Model Inspection

-	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	У
(1)	Age	Systolic Blood Pressure	Coronary Heart Disease
x ⁽¹⁾	17	118	0
$x^{(2)}$	46	117	0
$x^{(3)}$	53	146	1
$x^{(4)}$	62	158	1
$x^{(5)}$	20	106	1
	20	124	0
•	48	144	1
•	42	154	0
•	51	124	1
$x^{(n)}$	58	214	0



→ Result of running logistic regression: $w_1 = 0.057$ $w_2 = 0.0044$ b = -3.857



Hasso Plattner

Institut

ML Process

Data Management for Digital Health, Winter 2023 **37**

Interpretation:

Risk of CHD increases with age and also with SBP, but more strongly with age

ightarrow Just inspecting the weights usually not so easy for more complex models

Evaluation Manual Evaluation & Interpretation



Rate this translation:



OK. I can understand enough of this.

Hide Translation

Never Translate German X Translated from German to English (UK)

Language Settings

✿ · Rate this translation









Ground-Truth: Doctor

Ground-Truth: Nurse

(a) Original image

Predicted: Nurse

Predicted: Nurse

(b) Grad-CAM for biased model

Predicted: Doctor



Predicted: Nurse (c) Grad-CAM for unbiased model



Data Management for Digital Health, Winter 2023 38

Selvaraju, Ramprasaath R., et al. "Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization." Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. 2017.



Deployment





39

Deployment



Deployment

Model compression

- Integration into existing applications & processes
- Simplification / compression of models to run on target hardware might cost some accuracy
- Target hardware includes:
 - Web servers
 - Smart watches / Mobile devices
 - Imaging device
 - Hospital PCs
 - → Usually not as much computational power required compared to training (unless inference needs to happen in real time)
- Continuous monitoring of model performance on real-world data is vital
- Continual learning to update models regularly as new data becomes available





https://www.bbc.com/ne ws/health-45275071

https://venturebeat.com /2018/11/28/applewatch-series-4-ecg-apprelease/



6)

Model versioning Process integration

Monitoring

Continual learning

Process Model for ML in Digital Health Hasso Plattner Institut **Roles** -Requirement Data Data Data Scientist Analysis Acquisition OV J Preparation Business metrics -Domain Expert Exploration Data collection • Acceptance criteria • Model ETL Quality assessment Raw Data protection • requirements Cleansing (Data) Engineer Data integration data Ethics • Feature engineering De-identification Interpretability Labeling requirements Training Feedback Feedback data -Predictive Evaluation Deployment Test -Modeling data Model compression **ML Process** • Algorithm selection **Evaluation Metrics** • Model Predictions Feature selection Inspection Trained Data Management for versioning Model training model Interpretation Digital Health, Winter Process integration • Performance Hyperparameter tuning Manual evaluation 2023 Monitoring • metrics Model selection 41 Continual learning

Federated Learning



- Paradigm for distributed training of ML models across sites
- No data sharing, just parameter updates
- In the centralized version, a central server orchestrates the learning process:
 - $\hfill\square$ Selection of nodes for next iteration
 - Result aggregation
- Disadvantage of centralized FL: needs a trustworthy 3rd party, which is the single point of failure



Pfitzner, B., Steckhan, N., & Arnrich, B. (2021). Federated learning in a medical context: a systematic literature review. *ACM Transactions on Internet Technology (TOIT)*, 21(2), 1-31.

Decentralized Federated Learning



43

- Nodes coordinate themselves to obtain global model
- Model updates are propagated in a peer-to-peer manner
- Disadvantages:

communication overhead, performance depends on topology of the network



NephroCAGE Federated Learning Software Architecture

- Assess real-world transplant data from German and Canadian medical centers
- Access to 10yrs+ transplant data
- Healthcare data remains protected
- Al algorithms travel to data
- Federated learning enables data analysis whilst keeping data protected





NEPHRO

NephroCAGE Federated Learning Software Architecture (cont'd)





Hasso Plattner

Institut

Photo by Louis Reed

"Garbage In - Garbage Out"

Jupyter

- Activities according to the ML process model
- Federated Learning as an emerging paradigm for digital health



New Jupyter Notebook! (relevant for Exercise 1)

https://github.com/hpi-dhc/dm4dh-2023/blob/main/2_ML_Process.ipynb

ML Process





